

1. Call to order: Meeting called to order at 7:03pm with 28 members: 21 present plus 7 online.
2. Introductions & approval of A.G.M. rules proposed by John Noonan. Gord Reddy thanked everyone for coming, and introduced the board of directors. He introduced Parliamentarian John Noonan, asked if anyone objected to him running the meeting. All approved. He explained the meeting rules as posted to our website, and given out in AGM package.
 - a. Moved by Stuart Lynne that the AGM rules be approved. Seconded and Carried.
 - b. Moved by Stephanie Hotchkiss that the 2016 AGM Agenda be approved as presented. Seconded and discussion: Wayne Pomerio asked for confirmation that the motions he suggested will be considered under new business. This was confirmed. Carried.
 - c. Approval of the minutes from the last general meeting. Correction: John Tolkamp abstained from voting due to a conflict of interest. Correct election information: Mark Campbell was voted VP Mountain Bike. Minutes are approved as corrected.
3. Elections. It was noted that there were 15 candidates for 9 positions. Voting was done electronically and in person at the meeting. Tara Mowat and Erin Waugh (scrutineers) confirmed that the directors for 2016/17 are:

Kelyn Akuna
Craig Bosenburg
Stephanie Hotchkiss
Rose Keith
Willa Kriebel
Stuart Lynne
Jeannie Mansell
Sean O'Mahoney
Mischa Partridge
4. Executive Director's report: James Richards presented his report. He noted the success of the past, challenges for the future and Gave the membership an overview of what we've been working on in the CBC office including the team's plans for the balance of the year. (stakeholder sessions, iride sustainability, enhanced event calendar, driving value for the clubs, building capacity through more opportunity for training. Goals for the team:
 - a. Transparency: programming/decision making – working with clubs & Committees
 - b. Services & Integration: driving value for members, partners: forward planning, pathways

- c. Sustainability of the business – leadership, alignment, volume, income.
 - d. Excellence among the team.
5. Senior Director of Sport Development. Richard Wooles presented his report. Thanks to Jim; the board, congrats to the new board.
6. Report from VP Financial oversight. Gord Reddy gave a brief report on behalf of the board – the Audited Financials were approved by the Board in April and the organization is on sound financial ground.
 - a. Approval of the Audited & Signed 2015 Financial Statements: The 2015 Financial Statements ending Dec 31, were approved by Board of Directors and filed as presented.
 - b. 2016 Membership Fees: There are no changes and therefore no approvals required at this point in time – a committee to be assembled following this AGM to offer recommendations for 2017 services and membership fees. Any changes will undergo approval process at special extraordinary meeting in the fall of this year.
 - c. Moved by Gord Reddy that the firm of D&H Group LLP be appointed to audit Cycling BC's Year Ended December 31, 2016. Seconded and Carried.
7. New Business:
 - a. Motions from Wayne Pomerio:
 - i. **That Cycling British Columbia commit to adhering to the obligations imposed on it due to it being a member of Cycling Canada. Specifically, that they abide by the rules and regulations of the UCI and Cycling Canada.**

Discussion:

Wayne Pomerio: that there have been issues with regard to regulations. Cycling Canada requires that Cycling BC adheres to their rules and the Officials committee has a strong viewpoint: consistency across Canada ensuring that there is fair interprovincial competition. There is a specific requirement to cycling Canada, objection to that fact that it is even being debated at CBC. Disc brake issue in particular outlines the issue.

Sean O'Mahoney: Good judgement call on management to give a 6-week window after the disc brake UCI incident and rule change – at times one has to ensure flexibility for racing at the lower levels.

Craig Bosenburg: Suggests that the motion be tabled; (parliamentarian says we can't) suggests that we should have a motion to refer to the board. **Moved and**

seconded “that this motion be referred to the board of directors for consideration.” Discussion:

- *Wayne Pomerio*: point of information – that the motions were not pre-circulated. Wayne sent the motions to CBC within the time frame.
- *Meghan Grant*: in favour of referring. Adopting this as a blanket policy has implications across the sport. This is a complex issue that bears full discussion and a look at all the rules;
- *Richard Wooles*: Interprovincial bodies meeting has been set June 24 by Cycling Canada.
- *Cindy Landers*: If referred to the board, will there be a deadline for a decision? Rules across the board is important for commissaires.
- *Brett Boniface*: Confirmed that Wayne did submit within the time frame; supports the motion to refer.
- *John Tolkamp*: confirms that Cycling Canada has called a meeting bringing provinces together. Confirmed that UCI Commissaires sometimes amend the rules. UCI gives some room to amend the rules; fairness, safety, opportunity.
- *Claire Bonin*: opposed to referral – some clarification needs to happen for the general membership.
- *Wayne Pomerio*: opposed to referral. Board does not have correct information.

John Noonan called the question: In favour: 16, Opposed: 7. The motion is referred to the Board of Directors.

ii. That Cycling British Columbia respects all its classes of members and acts in a fair and equitable manner towards them. This includes protecting them and defending them from unfair criticism, especially where this may impact on their integrity, and ability to conform to their obligations as laid down on their license and within the sport’s rules and regulations. Discussion:

- *Wayne Pomerio*: Concern about the declining numbers – due to disrespect shown officials. Criticism – staff contracted by Cycling BC questioning integrity.
- *Jim Richards*: Cycling BC – working on policies and procedures, complaints process.
- *Richard Wooles*: we have been and will continue to invest in official development.
- *John Evanechko*: code of conduct is already in place.
- *Sandy Harrison*: Chief Provincial Commissaire and so has experienced it all. Has seen improvement over the last couple of years; concern for athlete development; the sport needs officials and the officials need to be respected.
- *Claire Bonin*: comes down to respect; discouraging when there is disrespect. Commissaire comments were not circulated during the disc brake discussion.
- *Meghan Grant*: asked what the motion does? Clarification; That the motion reiterates that the membership will show respect.

John Noonan called the question: The motion passed unanimously.

iii. That the Board of Cycling British Columbia brings to the next General Meeting of its members proposed amendments to its Bylaws to establish a Standing Committee for Commissaires, together with a definition of who would be its members.

Discussion:

- *Stuart Lynne:* Question about the committees: clarification of what the motion means. Does this change the bylaws? Clarification: No, this is a proposal that the bylaw change would come to the next AGM.
- *Jim Richards:* Cycling BC Team will be bringing recommendations for committees to the next board.
- *Claire Bonin:* point of information – an ad hoc committee currently exists.
- *Stuart Lynne:* concern with being stuck with making a committee when there might be a move to get rid of the committee.

John Noonan called the question: In favour: 14; opposed: 7. The motion carries.

iv. That the Board of Cycling British Columbia brings to the next General Meeting of its members proposed amendments to its Bylaws to include a director position on its Board who is at a minimum an active National Level Commissaire. Discussion.

- *Wayne Pomerio:* We need think constructively on how to bring the expertise of commissaires to existing positions and to chair the standing committee. There are Issues with recruitment and retention. Officials are integral to the sport.
- *Richard Wooles:* there is no current limitation to board membership.
- *Thierry Keable:* would create a precedent and differentiate from other members. This would mean going back to bylaw structure rejected at the previous AGM.
- *Sean O'Mahoney:* Opposed – anyone can run for a position on the board.
- *Claire Bonin:* This would ensure that we have the expertise – what you can and can't do; informative role.
- *Meghan Grant:* Great to have input; however, it could be problematic. Acknowledgement of the need to engage commissaires.
- *Cindy Landers:* need a mechanism to hear from commissaires.
- *Wayne Pomerio:* this would require that one board member have the mindset of commissaire, who should be trained at the National level.
- *Sean O'Mahoney:* we don't have expertise in all areas.
- *Stuart Lynne:* It is up to the board to consult with experts.

John Noonan called the question in favour: 8; opposed: 17. The motion is defeated.

v. That Cycling British Columbia include in its LTAD model the role of Commissaires in developing athletes to race according to the regulations in order to effectively achieve their potential. Discussion:

- *Wayne Pomerio*: LTAD developed by Cycling Canada should have officials input with reference to the role of officials, specific.
- *Kelyn Akuna*: agree that something needs to be created referring to officials – working committee should address – not sure about reworking the document.
- *Sandy Harrison*: organizers, athletes don't always know why officials are there and what they are doing. Need a way to ensure that riders know the rules or racing. Trying to ensure that participants in the sport are given education.
- *Thierry Keable/Craig Bosenburg*: asked about management views on the motion:
 - RW: asking for officials to do training before going to nationals; worried about making commitments and is working with commissaires, clubs; resources and time can be an issue for the organization. Youth provincials – seminar for athletes – can we do a better job? Yes. Mandate work for the office.
- *Scott Kalen* – wouldn't this be something that the Commissaries committee works on? Is Wayne willing to put his time into this work?
- *John Tolkamp*: proposal is interesting – LTAD starts with Cycling Canada. He will bring this back to CC.
- *Meghan Grant* moves an amendment to read that **“Cycling British Columbia recommend to Cycling Canada that they amend the LTAD model to include the role of commissaires in developing athletes to race according to the regulations in order to effectively achieve their potential.”**
- Wayne Pomerio expressed concern that this took something and turned into nothing. Are we being fair to our athletes? Some comments: agreement, but with Cycling BC doesn't own the LTAD. Working with Cycling Canada – doesn't diffuse the motion. Good direction. This will ensure that Wayne's desire is adopted across the country. The Interprovincial meeting – a place for this discussion. John Tolkamp clarified that the June 24 meeting is preliminary only, but committed to bringing back to the LTAD group the question of commissaires and rules.

John Noonan called the question: In favour: 16; opposed: 3 + 4. The amended motion carries.

9:15 - Meeting adjourned.